

Calais Development Review Board  
Minutes July 14, 2016

Board Members Present: Peg Bowen, Chair; Ruth Porter, Barbara Weedon, Janice Ohlsson and Tim Scandale, Secretary

Others Present: Victoria King, Tim Macke, Paige Canfield Dot Naylor, assistant Calais ZA and John McCullough, Calais ZA.

It was noted that a site visit for each applicant was conducted before the hearing

**2016-13 Victoria King**

The applicant, Victoria King, has non-compliant structures on her property. She gave a brief description to the DRB and stated that she also has a impervious structure at her shed. Instead of getting rid of her shed, she would like to trade this with the entryway on her deck. A picture of the deck from her builder was shown to the Board.

It was noted that the applicant doesn't have a formal plan, and that she wants to check with the DRB before creating one.

She is proposing a entryway and possibly a enclosed mud room with a screen porch. The screen porch would be 6'8" from the house. It was noted that this is a year-round residence.

A member of the Board stated that an addition to the house can be heated or unheated. It was noted that the hot tub is not a permanent structure.

The ZA explained that the issues are with the zoning regulations and the non-compliant structure. It was noted that there is no longer an issue with the impervious structure.

Dot Naylor asked for the name and address of the applicant builder. She wants to start sending DRB decisions to builders. The applicant will send the builders address to Donna Fitch

**2016-18 Macke Canfield**

During this conditional use review, the applicant's proposed to increase an impervious structure. The DRB just had a site visit, agree that the project looks great, and understand the walkway. It was noted that the walkway will end next to the parking space next to the well (same as before). This is 18' from the existing driveway.

The applicants will talk to their landscapers about putting sand under the stone to make the walkway non- impervious. The ZA shared best practices on permeable walkways and will forward information about this to the applicants.

It was noted that the roof is a impervious structure under 100' and that a permeable walkway wouldn't count. The DRB would need to approve a permeable walkway because this is a departure from the original application.

It was noted that this is a new conditional use hearing and an amendment was made for the new plan. A handrail will now be part of the new plan. The rest is the same as the original plan.

A motion was made to close the hearing. All were in favor and none were opposed. A decision will be rendered in 45 days or less

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully Submitted,  
Tim Scandale, DRB Secretary